



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

_	APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
	10/743,316 12/23/2003 C		2/23/2003	Ole Arnt Anfindsen	43315-201413	5571	_
				EXAMINER			
	SWIDLER B	ERLIN :	LLP	MARC, MCDIEUNEL			
	3000 K STREI	ET. NW					ر ٦
	BOX IP	,		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGTON DC 20007			20007		3661		

DATE MAILED: 01/27/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/743,316	ANFINDSEN ET AL					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		McDieunel Marc	3661					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Se	entember 2005.						
		action is non-final.						
′=	Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the merits is					
٠,۵	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
		A parto quayro, 1000 oron 11, 10	3 3.3.2.3.					
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application.		*					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)⊠	Claim(s) 1-23 are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers							
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>12/23/2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	- · ·						
11) 🔲	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex							
	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> </ul>							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Application	on No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
* 0	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
~ S	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
			•					
Attachment	t(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te. <u>1/11/2006</u> .					
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5)  Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTO-152)					
<u> </u>	Patent and Trademark Office							

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

- Group I. Claims 1-16 and 28 (process), drawn to a method for programming an industrial robot, classified in class 700, subclass 264.
- Group II. Claims 17-18 (product), drawn to a computer program product and a computer readable medium, classified in class 700, subclass 245.
- Group III. Claims 16-27 (apparatus/system, combination), drawn to a system for programming an industrial robot, classified in class 700, subclass 264.
- 2. Inventions I/II and III are related as process/product and combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the details of the subcombination are not set forth in the combination. The

Application/Control Number: 10/743,316

Art Unit: 3661

subcombination has utility programming an industrial robot..., the inventions are distinct and restriction is PROPER. See MPEP § 806.05(c).

Page 3

- 3. Inventions I/II and III are related as combination and subcombination. In inventions in this relationship are distinct are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the details of the subcombination as separately claimed are not set forth in the combination. The subcombination has separate utility such as programming an industrial robot...
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. Upon election of invention I, II or III, the applicant is further required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect one of the following disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which Upon election of invention I, II or III, the applicant is further required under 35 the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable (currently, no claims appear to be generic):
- A. The embodiment of figure 1.

Application/Control Number: 10/743,316 Page 4

Art Unit: 3661

B. The embodiment of figure 2.

- C. The embodiment of figure 3.
- D. The embodiment of figure 4.
- E. The embodiment of figure 5.
- F. The embodiment of figure 6.
- G. The embodiment of figure 7.
- 6. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include in identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in depend form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02 (a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the Examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission by be used in rejection under U.S. C. (a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/743,316 Page 5

Art Unit: 3661

7. Applicant is advised that reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

- 8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least on claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17 (i).
- 9. A telephone call was made to Mr. Eric J. Franklin, Registration No. 37,134, on 1/11/2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made; because Eric J. Franklin, Registration No. 37,134, will contact the client first before making a decision. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 10. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because (Fig. 6) should be deleted on the page that contains the abstract. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

Application/Control Number: 10/743,316

Art Unit: 3661

examiner should be directed to McDieunel Marc whose telephone number is (571) 272-6964.

The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-5:00 Mon-Thu.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Black can be reached on (571) 272-6956. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

McDieunel Marc

Thursday, January 12, 2006

MM/

THUNDAY PATENT EXAMINETY OF THUNDAY PATENT EXAMINETY PATENT EXAMINETY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE P

Page 6